### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD REGION 9

In the Matter of

WORLD CLASS CORRUGATING LLC, A WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDARY OF NEW STAR METALS

and

Case 9-CA-102875

GENERAL DRIVERS, WAREHOUSEMEN & HELPERS, LOCAL UNION NO. 89, AFFILIATED WITH THE INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS

## COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING

This Complaint and Notice of Hearing is based on a charge filed by General Drivers,
Warehousemen & Helpers Local Union No. 89, affiliated with the International Brotherhood of
Teamsters (Union). It is issued pursuant to Section 10(b) of the National Labor Relations Act,
29 U.S.C. § 151 et seq. (the Act), and Section 102.15 of the Rules and Regulations of the
National Labor Relations Board (the Board) and alleges that World Class Corrugated, herein
called by it correct name, World Class Corrugating LLC, a Wholly-Owned Subsidiary of New
Star Metals (Respondent), has violated the Act as described below:

- 1. The charge in this proceeding was filed by the Union on April 15, 2013, and a copy was served by regular mail on Respondent on April 16, 2013.
- 2. (a) At all material times, Respondent has been a limited liability corporation with an office and place of business in Louisville, Kentucky and has been engaged in the business of manufacturing metal roofing and siding.

- (b) During the past 12 months, Respondent, in conducting its operations described above in paragraph 2(a), purchased and received at its Louisville, Kentucky facility goods valued in excess of \$50,000 directly from points outside the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
- (c) At all material times, Respondent has been an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(2), (6) and (7) of the Act.
- 3. (a) About August 16, 2012 and October 15 and 18, 2012, Respondent purchased assets of Wheeling Corrugating Company (Wheeling) and since then, has continued to operate the business of Wheeling in basically unchanged form.
- (b) But for the conduct described below in paragraph 7, Respondent would have employed, as a majority of its employees, individuals who were previously employees of Wheeling.
- (c) Based on the conduct described below in paragraph 6, Respondent discriminatorily failed and refused to hire employees of the predecessor and the operation described above in paragraph 3(a), Respondent has continued the employing entity and is a successor to Wheeling.
- 4. At all material times, the Union has been a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.
- 5. At all material times, the following individuals have held the positions set forth opposite their respective names and have been supervisors of Respondent within the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Act and agents of Respondent within the meaning of Section 2(13) of the Act:

Pat Merley - CEO of Respondent's

corporate parent, New Star Metals

William Martin - Plant Manager
James Losey - Supervisor

- 6. At all material times, Adecco USA has been the agent of Respondent within the meaning of Section 2(13) of the Act with respect to recruiting and hiring employees for Respondent.
- 7. (a) Since about October 18, 2012, Respondent implemented a plan to hire employees, and established a hiring procedure, and engaged in other conduct designed to exclude and/or limit the hiring of applicants who were former employees of Wheeling or members of the Union.
- (b) Since about October 12, 2012, Respondent has failed and refused to hire or consider for hire the former employees of Wheeling listed below, who were members of the Unit described below in paragraph 8.

Delbert Swartz Dave Harbold Marc Brown Donald Ruffra Charles Hendricks Mark Reynolds Russ Craft II John Nash Michael Wynn Chris Ginder Thomas Sparks Dave Spencer Charles Herrington Tom Gahan Matthew Auxier Scott Flora Tony King Bill McAllister

(c) Respondent engaged in the conduct described above in paragraphs 7(a) and (b) because the former employees of Wheeling were members of the Union, engaged in concerted activities, and to discourage employees from engaging in these activities and in order to avoid an obligation to recognize and bargain with the Union.

8. The following employees of Respondent, as set forth in Article 1 of the collective-bargaining agreement between Wheeling and the Union dated August 19, 2010, herein called the Unit, constitute a unit appropriate for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of Section 9(b) of the Act:

All employees employed by Respondent at its Louisville, Kentucky facility, excluding all office clerical employees, guards and supervisors.

- 9. (a) Since about 1956 and at all material times, the Union has been the designated exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the Unit and, during that time, the Union had been recognized as the representative by Wheeling and its predecessors. This recognition has been embodied in successive collective-bargaining agreements, the most recent of which was effective by its terms from August 19, 2010 to August 19, 2013.
- (b) At all times since at least August 19, 2010, based on Section 9(a) of the Act, the Union has been the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the Unit.
- (c) At all material times since about October 18, 2012, based on the conduct described above in paragraphs 3, 7, 8, 9(a) and (b), the Union has been the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of Respondent's employees in the Unit.
- (d) At all times since October 18, 2012, based on Section 9(a) of the Act, the Union has been the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the Unit.
- 10. Since about October 18, 2012, Respondent has failed and refused to recognize and bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the Unit.
- 11. By the conduct described above in paragraph 7, Respondent has been discriminating in regard to the hire or tenure, or terms or conditions of employment of its employees, thereby

discouraging membership in a labor organization in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act.

- 12. By the conduct described above in paragraph 10, Respondent has been failing and refusing to bargain collectively and in good faith with the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of its employees in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (5) of the Act.
- 13. The unfair labor practices of Respondent described above affect commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

WHEREFORE, as part of the remedy for the unfair labor practices alleged above in paragraphs 7 and 11, the Acting General Counsel seeks an Order requiring that Respondent preserve and, within 14 days of a request, provide at the office designated by the Board or its agents, a copy of all payroll records, social security payment records, timecards, personnel records and reports, and all other records, including an electronic copy of such records if stored in electronic form, necessary to analyze the amount of reimbursement for lost wages or related costs due under the terms of this Order. If requested, the originals of such records shall be provided to the Board or its agents in the same manner.

In addition, as part of the remedy for the unfair labor practices alleged above in paragraphs 7 and 11, the Acting General Counsel seeks an Order requiring reimbursement of amounts equal to the differences in taxes owed upon receipt of a lump-sum payment and taxes that would have been owed had there been no discrimination. The Acting General Counsel also seeks, as part of the remedy for the allegations in paragraphs 7 and 11 that Respondent be required to submit the appropriate documentation to the Social Security Administration so that when backpay is paid, it will be allocated to the appropriate periods.

Further, as a remedy for the unfair labor practices alleged herein, the Acting General

Counsel seeks an Order requiring Respondent to hold a meeting or meetings scheduled to ensure
the widest possible attendance at which the Board's Notice is to be read to employees by a
responsible management official of Respondent, or at Respondent's option, by a Board agent in
that official's presence. Respondent should be required to have the Regional Director for Region
9 of the National Labor Relations Board's approval for the scheduling, time and announcement
of any such notice reading. Also, Respondent shall be required to allow a representative of the
Union to be present during such reading or readings of the Notice.

As part of the remedy for the unfair labor practices alleged above in paragraphs 7, 10, 11 and 12, the Acting General Counsel seeks an order requiring Respondent, at the request of the Union, to restore the terms and conditions of employment of its employees to those in effect under the collective-bargaining agreement, described in paragraph 9(a) above. These terms and conditions should be applied to all discriminatees herein and to the employees employed by Respondent in the Unit as required by the Board in *Love's Barbecue*, 245 NLRB 78 (1979).

Lastly, the Acting General Counsel further seeks all other relief as may be just and proper to remedy the unfair labor practices alleged.

### ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Respondent is notified that, pursuant to Sections 102.20 and 102.21 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, it must file an answer to the complaint. The answer must be <u>received by this</u> office on or before July 24, 2013 or postmarked on or before July 23, 2013. Respondent should file an original and four copies of the answer with this office and serve a copy of the answer on each of the other parties.

An answer may also be filed electronically through the Agency's website. To file electronically, go to www.nlrb.gov, click on File Case Documents, enter the NLRB Case Number, and follow the detailed instructions. The responsibility for the receipt and usability of the answer rests exclusively upon the sender. Unless notification on the Agency's website informs users that the Agency's E-Filing system is officially determined to be in technical failure because it is unable to receive documents for a continuous period of more than 2 hours after 12:00 noon (Eastern Time) on the due date for filing, a failure to timely file the answer will not be excused on the basis that the transmission could not be accomplished because the Agency's website was off-line or unavailable for some other reason. The Board's Rules and Regulations require that an answer be signed by counsel or non-attorney representative for represented parties or by the party if not represented. See Section 102.21. If the answer being filed electronically is a pdf document containing the required signature, no paper copies of the answer need to be transmitted to the Regional Office. However, if the electronic version of an answer to a complaint is not a pdf file containing the required signature, then the E-filing rules require that such answer containing the required signature continue to be submitted to the Regional Office by traditional means within three (3) business days after the date of electronic filing. Service of the answer on each of the other parties must still be accomplished by means allowed under the Board's Rules and Regulations. The answer may not be filed by facsimile transmission. If no answer is filed, or if an answer is filed untimely, the Board may find, pursuant to a Motion for Default Judgment, that the allegations in the complaint are true.

### NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on <u>August 28, 2013</u>, <u>9 a.m.</u> at the <u>Allen Courtroom</u>, <u>University of Louisville, School of Law, Third Street and Eastern Parkway, Louisville,</u> **Kentucky**, and on consecutive days thereafter until concluded, a hearing will be conducted before an administrative law judge of the National Labor Relations Board. At the hearing, Respondent and any other party to this proceeding have the right to appear and present testimony regarding the allegations in this complaint. The procedures to be followed at the hearing are described in the attached Form NLRB-4668. The procedure to request a postponement of the hearing is described in the attached Form NLRB-4338.

Dated at Cincinnati, Ohio this 10<sup>th</sup> day of July 2013.

Gary W. Muffley, Regional Director

Region 9, National Labor Relations Board 3003 John Weld Peck Federal Building

550 Main Street

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3271

Attachments

### UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD NOTICE

### Case 9-CA-102875

The issuance of this notice of formal hearing in this case does not mean that the matter cannot be disposed of by agreement of the parties. On the contrary, it is the policy of this office to encourage voluntary adjustments. The examiner or attorney assigned to the case will be pleased to receive and to act promptly upon your suggestions or comments to this end. An agreement beween the parties, approved by the Regional Director, would serve to cancel the hearing.

However, unless otherwise specifically ordered, the hearing will be held at the date, hour, and place indicated. Postponements will not be granted unless good and sufficient grounds are shown and the following requirements are met:

- (1) The request must be in writing. An original and two copies must be filed with the Regional Director when appropriate under 29 CFR 102.16(a) or with the Division of Judges when appropriate under 29 CFR 102.16(b).
- (2) Grounds must be set forth in detail;
- (3) Alternative dates for any rescheduled hearing must be given;
- (4) The positions of all other parties must be ascertained in advance by the requesting party and set foth in the request; and
- (5) Copies must be simultaneously served on all other parties (listed below), and that the fact must be noted on the request.

Except under the most extreme conditions, no request for postponement will be granted during thethree days immediately preceding the date of hearing.

BILL MARTIN WORLD CLASS CORRUGATED 1424 S. 15Th STREET LOUISVILLE, KY 40210

### BY REGULAR MAIL:

ALAN J. MARCUIS, ATTORNEY HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP 1445 ROSS AVE STE 3700 DALLAS, TX 75202-2755

ROY REYNOLDS, ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 89 3813 TAYLOR BOULEVARD LOUISVILLE, KY 40215

### BY REGULAR MAIL CONTINUED:

JENNIFER JONES, ATTORNEY HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP 1445 ROSS AVE STE 3700 DALLAS, TX 75202-2755

PATRICK MURLEY WORLD CLASS CORRUGATED 835 MCCLINTOCK DRIVE, SUITE 100 BURR RIDGE, IL 60527

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD WASHINGTON, D.C. 20570

# SUMMARY OF STANDARD PROCEDURES IN FORMAL HEARINGS HELD BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD IN UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICE PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 10 OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT

The hearing will be conducted by an administrative law judge of the National Labor Relations Board who will preside at the hearing as an independent, impartial finder of the facts and applicable law whose decision in due time will be served on the parties. The offices of the administrative law judges are located in Washington, DC; San Francisco, California; New York, N.Y.; and Atlanta, Georgia.

At the date, hour, and place for which the hearing is set, the administrative law judge, upon the joint request of the parties, will conduct a "prehearing" conference, prior to or shortly after the opening of the hearing, to ensure that the issues are sharp and clearcut; or the administrative law judge may independently conduct such a conference. The administrative law judge will preside at such conference, but may, if the occasion arises, permit the parties to engage in private discussions. The conference will not necessarily be recorded, but it may well be that the labors of the conference will be evinced in the ultimate record, for example, in the form of statements of position, stipulations, and concessions. Except under unusual circumstances, the administrative law judge conducting the prehearing conference will be the one who will conduct the hearing; and it is expected that the formal hearing will commence or be resumed immediately upon completion of the prehearing conference. No prejudice will result to any party unwilling to participate in or make stipulations or concessions during any prehearing conference.

(This is not to be construed as preventing the parties from meeting earlier for similar purposes. To the contrary, the parties are encouraged to meet prior to the time set for hearing in an effort to narrow the issues.)

Parties may be represented by an attorney or other representative and present evidence relevant to the issues. All parties appearing before this hearing who have or whose witnesses have handicaps falling within the provisions of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, and 29 C.F.R. 100.603, and who in order to participate in this hearing need appropriate auxiliary aids, as defined in 29 C.F.R. 100.603, should notify the Regional Director as soon as possible and request the necessary assistance.

An official reporter will make the only official transcript of the proceedings, and all citations in briefs and arguments must refer to the official record. The Board will not certify any transcript other than the official transcript for use in any court litigation. Proposed corrections of the transcript should be submitted, either by way of stipulation or motion, to the administrative law judge for approval.

All matter that is spoken in the hearing room while the hearing is in session will be recorded by the official reporter unless the administrative law judge specifically directs off-the-record discussion. In the event that any party wishes to make off-the-record statements, a request to go off the record should be directed to the administrative law judge and not to the official reporter.

Statements of reasons in support of motions and objections should be specific and concise. The administrative law judge will allow an automatic exception to all adverse rulings and, upon appropriate order, an objection and exception will be permitted to stand to an entire line of questioning.

All exhibits offered in evidence shall be in duplicate. Copies of exhibits should be supplied to the administrative law judge and other parties at the time the exhibits are offered in evidence. If a copy of any exhibit is not available at the time the original is received, it will be the responsibility of the party offering such exhibit to submit the copy to the administrative law judge before the close of hearing. In the event such copy is not submitted, and the filing has not been waived by the administrative law judge, any ruling receiving the exhibit may be rescinded and the exhibit rejected.

Any party shall be entitled, on request, to a reasonable period of time at the close of the hearing for oral argument, which shall be included in the transcript of the hearing. In the absence of a request, the administrative law judge may ask for oral argument if, at the close of the hearing, it is believed that such argument would be beneficial to the understanding of the contentions of the parties and the factual issues involved.

In the discretion of the administrative law judge, any party may, on request made before the close of the hearing, file a brief or proposed findings and conclusions, or both, with the administrative law judge who will fix the time for such filing. Any such filing submitted shall be double-spaced on 8 1/2 by 11 inch paper.

Attention of the parties is called to the following requirements laid down in Section 102.42 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, with respect to the procedure to be followed before the proceeding is transferred to the Board:

No request for an extension of time within which to submit briefs or proposed findings to the administrative law judge will be considered unless received by the Chief Administrative Law Judge in Washington, DC (or, in cases under the branch offices in San Francisco, California; New York, New York; and Atlanta, Georgia, the Associate Chief Administrative Law Judge) at least 3 days prior to the expiration of time fixed for the submission of such documents. Notice of request for such extension of time must be served simultaneously on all other parties, and proof of such service furnished to the Chief Administrative Law Judge or the Associate Chief Administrative Law Judge, as the case may be. A quicker response is assured if the moving party secures the positions of the other parties and includes such in the request. All briefs or proposed findings filed with the administrative law judge must be submitted in triplicate, and may be printed or otherwise legibly duplicated with service on the other parties.

In due course the administrative law judge will prepare and file with the Board a decision in this proceeding, and will cause a copy thereof to be served on each of the parties. Upon filing of this decision, the Board will enter an order transferring this case to itself, and will serve copies of that order, setting forth the date of such transfer, on all parties. At that point, the administrative law judge's official connection with the case will cease.

The procedure to be followed before the Board from that point forward, with respect to the filing of exceptions to the administrative law judge's decision, the submission of supporting briefs, requests for oral argument before the Board, and related matters, is set forth in the Board's Rules and Regulations, particularly in Section 102.46 and following sections. A summary of the more pertinent of these provisions will be served on the parties together with the order transferring the case to the Board.

Adjustments or settlements consistent with the policies of the National Labor Relations Act reduce government expenditures and promote amity in labor relations. If adjustment appears possible, the administrative law judge may suggest discussions between the parties or, on request, will afford reasonable opportunity during the hearing for such discussions.